Amendments to the Claims:

The following listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method of operating a computer system for evaluating a programming language statement to solve a computational related problem relating achieve a data transformation of a document data structure, the programming language statement including first and second sub-statements that provide a common framework to define both imperative and declarative statements providing an intermediate level of abstraction that enables concise declarative notation while preserving low level imperative specifications through bi-valuation, the method comprising:

inputting a computer-readable arbitrary complex data structure representing an expression of a document data structure;

inputting the programming language statement, including the first and second sub-statements, that acts on the <u>document</u> data structure to solve a computational related <u>problem transform</u> the document data structure into a transformed representation of the <u>document</u>, one of the first and second sub-statements being a declarative statement and the other being an imperative statement;

evaluating the first sub-statement as a first evaluation to determine a first evaluation success result if the first evaluation succeeds or else <u>designating</u> a distinguished value if the first evaluation fails, said distinguished value being a value not included in a first range of possible evaluation success results of the first sub-statement;

determining whether to evaluate the second sub-statement as a second evaluation, and if so, evaluating the second sub-statement to determine a second evaluation success result if the second evaluation succeeds or else <u>designating</u> said distinguished value if the second evaluation fails, a second range of possible evaluation success results of the second sub-statement not including said distinguished value;

determining an evaluation result of the statement depending on at least whether the first evaluation of the first sub-statement succeeds or fails;

wherein the first evaluation success result of the first sub-statement and the second evaluation success result of the second sub-statement belong to a first type in a set of types and the distinguished value belongs to a second type not in the set of types,

wherein each type in the set of types is not a supertype of the second type; and

wherein the first type in the set of types comprises one from the set of integer, float, Boolean, sequence, tuple, structure, multi-set, dictionary, string and enumeration; and outputting the evaluation results of the programming language statement as a structured transformation of the document data structure that is stored on a computer-readable medium to solve the computational related problem relating to the expression expressed in the arbitrary complex data structure.

- 2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated if the first evaluation of the first sub-statement did not fail, and the evaluation result of the statement is determined to be the second evaluation success result of the second sub-statement if the first and second evaluations of the respective first and the second sub-statements succeeds, and wherein the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value if at least one of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second sub-statements fails.
- 3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated if the first evaluation of the first substatement fails, and wherein the evaluation result of the statement is the first evaluation success result of the first substatement if the first evaluation of the first substatement succeeds; the evaluation result of the statement is the second evaluation success result of the second substatement if the first evaluation of the first substatement fails but the second evaluation of the second substatement succeeds; and the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value if both of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second substatements fail.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated concurrently with the first evaluation of the first sub-statement, and the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value if at least one of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second sub-statements fails.
- 5. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated concurrently with the first evaluation of the first sub-statement, and the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value only if both of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second sub-statements fail.
- 6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated independently on whether the first evaluation of the first sub-statement succeeds, and the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value if at least one of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second sub-statements fails.

- 7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the second substatement is evaluated independently on whether the first evaluation of the first sub-statement succeeds, and the evaluation result of the statement is said distinguished value if both of the first and second evaluations of the respective first and second sub-statements fail.
- 8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first and second sub-statements includes a closure loop statement having an operand indicating that evaluation of the respective sub-statement continues at least until said operand evaluates to said distinguished value.
- 9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first or second sub-statements includes a rule statement having a first argument and a second argument, a first argument evaluation of the first argument triggering a second argument evaluation of the second argument.
- 10. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first or second sub-statements includes an ordered action system.
- 11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the first or second sub-statements includes an unordered action system.
 - 12. (Canceled)
- 13. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the first and second sub-statements are typed according to a hierarchy of types.
- 14. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein said hierarchy of types includes at least one minimal type.
- A computer-executable program containing instructions stored in theon a computer-readable storage devicemedium for operating a method for evaluating a programming language statement that includes a first and a second sub-statement that provide a common framework to define both imperative and declarative statements, the method program instructions causing a computer to perform the following comprising:

receiving inputting an input computer-readable arbitrary complex data structure representing an expression of a document data structure;

inputting thereceiving an input programming language statement, including the first and second sub-statements, that acts on the <u>document</u> data structure to solve a <u>computational related problem transform the data structure into usable data</u>, one of the first and second sub-statements being a declarative statement and the other sub-statement being an imperative statement;

evaluating the first sub-statement as a first evaluation to determine a first evaluation success result if the first evaluation succeeds or else <u>designating</u> a distinguished value if the first evaluation fails, said distinguished value being a value not included in a first range of possible evaluation success results of the first sub-statement;

determining whether to evaluate the second sub-statement as a second evaluation, and if so, evaluating the second sub-statement to determine a second evaluation success result if evaluation succeeds or else <u>designating</u> said distinguished value if the second evaluation fails, a second range of possible evaluation success results of the second sub-statement not including said distinguished value;

determining an evaluation result of the statement depending on at least whether the first evaluation of the first sub-statement succeeds or fails;

wherein the first evaluation success result of the first sub-statement and the second evaluation success result of the second sub-statement belong to a first type in a set of types and the distinguished value belongs to a second type not in the set of types,

wherein the first type in the set of types is not a supertype of the second type; and

wherein each type in the set of types comprises one from the set of integer, float, Boolean, sequence, tuple, structure, multi-set, dictionary, string and enumeration; and outputting the evaluation results of the programming language statement as a structured transformation of the document data structure that is stored on a computer-readable medium to solve the computational related problem relating to the expression expressed in the arbitrary complex data structure.

16. (Currently Amended) A computer system system, for evaluating a programming language statement and determining an evaluation result of said statement according to claim 15, to solve a computational related problem related to an arbitrary complex data structure representing an expression by providing an intermediate level of abstraction that enables concise declarative notation while preserving low level imperative specifications through bi-valuation; comprising:

the computer-executable program according to claim 15;

a computer_readable storage device configured to store anthe arbitrary complex document data structure representing anthe expression and athe programming language statement that includes athe first and athe second sub-statement that provide a common framework to define both imperative and declarative statements, one of the first and second sub-statements being a declarative statement and the other being an imperative statement; and

a processor that receives the computer-readable arbitrary complex data structure and determines the evaluation result by executing the computer-executable programof the programming language statement that acts on the arbitrary complex data structure; the evaluation result depending on whether a sub-evaluation of the first and second sub-statements succeeds or fails; the processor evaluating the first sub-statement and determining a first evaluation success result if the sub-evaluation succeeds, or a distinguished value if evaluation fails; the processor evaluating the second sub-statement and determining a second evaluation success result if the sub-evaluation succeeds, or said distinguished value if the sub-evaluation fails; the first evaluation success result of the first sub-statement and the second evaluation success result of the second sub-statement belong to a first type in a set of types and the distinguished value belongs to a second type not in the set of types; wherein each type in the set of types is not a supertype of the second type; wherein the first type in the set of types comprises one from the set of integer, float, Boolean, sequence, tuple, structure, multi-set, dictionary, string and enumeration; and the processor outputting the evaluation results of the programming language statement evaluations to solve the computational related problem related to the expression expressed in the arbitrary complex data structure,

wherein the computer-readable storage medium stores the output evaluation result.

- 17-18. (Cancelled)
- 19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein evaluation of at least one of the first sub-statement and the second sub-statement comprises a pattern matching operation.

- 20. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the document data structure is an XML document, the programming language statement includes a pattern matching filter, and the output transformed data is a structured data content that matches the filter.
- 21. (New) The computer-executable program according to claim 15, wherein the document data structure is an XML document, the programming language statement includes a pattern matching filter, and the output transformed data is a structured data content that matches the filter.
- 22. (New) The computer system according to claim 16, wherein the document data structure is an XML document, the programming language statement includes a pattern matching filter, and the output transformed data is a structured data content that matches the filter.